


REVIEWER REPORT FORM

Problems of Economy · www.problecon.com · pe.ua.kh@gmail.com

A. MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

Field	Completed by editorial office / reviewer
Manuscript registration code	
Date manuscript received by reviewer	
Language	<input type="checkbox"/> Ukrainian / <input type="checkbox"/> English
Date of reviewer report	

B. SCHOLARLY ASSESSMENT

 For each question, tick the appropriate box and add a comment where needed.

Question	Response
B.1 Is the manuscript relevant to the journal's thematic scope and of interest to the scholarly community?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<i>Comment (if needed):</i>	
B.2 Are the research aim, hypotheses and/or research questions clearly formulated?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<i>Comment (if needed):</i>	
B.3 Is the manuscript scientifically sound and is the methodological approach appropriate to address the stated research aim?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<i>Comment (if needed):</i>	
B.4 Are the research results reliable and reproducible based on the information provided in the Methods section?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<i>Comment (if needed):</i>	

Question	Response
<p>B.5 Are the conclusions consistent with the research aim and results? Are the limitations of the study discussed?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.6 Is the manuscript original and does it add new knowledge to the existing scholarly body of work?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.7 Is the manuscript clearly and logically structured?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.8 Is the quality and clarity of language sufficient for publication? <i>Note: language editing can be carried out by the editorial office at a later stage.</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.9 Is the reference list comprehensive, current and correctly formatted? Does it cover recent international publications on the topic?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.10 Does the manuscript contain an excessive number of self-citations?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>B.11 Are the tables, figures and illustrative materials of high quality, correct and appropriate?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Hi / No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	
<p>B.12 Is the overall scholarly merit of this publication sufficient? Does the manuscript advance the current state of knowledge?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><i>Comment (if needed):</i></p>	

C. DETAILED COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHORS

These comments will be shared with the authors. Please provide specific, actionable and constructive feedback referring to line numbers, sections or figures where possible.

C.1. SUMMARY OF THE MANUSCRIPT

C.2. MAJOR COMMENTS (mandatory for Minor/Major Revision and Reject)

C.3. MINOR COMMENTS (optional)

C.4. TECHNICAL COMMENTS: formatting, language, references (optional)

D. CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITORIAL OFFICE

These comments will not be shared with the authors. Report any suspicions of plagiarism, duplicate publication, data fabrication or other ethical violations.

E. RECOMMENDATION

Select ONE recommendation. Mark with or X instead of . або X замість .

Accept (*Accept*) - the manuscript meets all requirements and may be published without changes or with minor technical corrections.

Minor Revision (*Minor Revision*) - the manuscript requires only minor clarifications. The revised version is checked by the editor without re-review.

Major Revision (*Major Revision*) - the manuscript requires significant changes. The revised version will be returned for re-review.

Reject (*Reject*) - the manuscript has fundamental shortcomings that cannot be remedied through revision. A detailed justification is mandatory.

F. REVIEWER DECLARATION

F.1. Use of AI tools:

- I did not use any AI tools in preparing this report.
- I used AI tools only for grammar/spell-checking my own text. No part of the manuscript was uploaded to any AI system.

F.2. Conflict of interest:

- I confirm that I have no conflict of interest with the authors.
- A potential conflict of interest exists (described in the confidential comments section).

F.3. Confidentiality: I confirm that the manuscript was treated as confidential, no part was shared with third parties or uploaded to any public AI system.

G. REVIEWER SIGNATURE

Поле / Field	
Full name	
Academic degree / title	
Position and institution	
ORCID iD	<i>https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000</i>
Reviewer email (confidential)	

Date of reviewer report:	_____ 20__	Reviewer signature:	_____
--------------------------	------------	---------------------	-------

⚠ Reminder: Reviewers must maintain the confidentiality of the manuscript and must not upload any part of it to AI systems (ChatGPT, Copilot, Gemini, etc.).